

STATES SUPPORTING USGS NATIONAL STREAMGAGE NETWORK

The Honorable Sally Jewell, Secretary of the Interior

U.S. Department of the Interior
1849 C Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20240

March 14, 2014

Regarding: WATER DATA & SCIENCE PROGRAM FUNDING
Interior Department Appropriations for FY-2015

Dear Secretary Jewell:

We urge your support to enable the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) to fully implement its design for the **National Streamflow Information Program (NSIP)** and to restore the USGS capacity to fully match non-federal cost-share investments in the **Cooperative Water Program (CWP)**.

We rely on the water data and science that these two USGS programs produce and many are active, cost-share partners (“Cooperators”) in the Cooperative Water Program. America’s need for streamflow, groundwater, tidal surge, precipitation and water quality data increases every year in relation to our public safety, land use and economic development needs, and our infrastructure planning and ecological commitments magnify those needs. It is imperative that the Interior/USGS budgets for the NSIP and CWP continue to meet our nation’s needs while leveraging the approximately \$80 million contributed annually by over 800 Cooperators to maintain USGS streamgages.

Together, the NSIP and CWP serve the federal need and provide the benchmark for an integrated monitoring network and a proven source of reliable scientific information that we need to support well-informed decision making in both the public and private sectors across a wide variety of water resource planning, design and operational functions, including:

- monitoring compliance with federal compact and Native American trust responsibilities;
- designing bridges, dams, levees, navigation improvements and other infrastructure;
- forecasting storm surge, flood and drought conditions and issuing emergency advisories;
- identifying flood-prone areas to protect lives and property and reduce disaster relief expenses;
- protecting water rights;
- managing reservoir releases for water supply, irrigation, hydropower, environmental and navigation uses;
- monitoring and protecting water quality, fisheries, wetlands and endangered species;
- providing safety information for boating and other water-based recreation;
- analyzing climate trends and evaluating community and regional response options; and
- projecting future water needs and availability for agricultural, municipal and industrial uses.

Concern for the long-term continuity and reliability of our national streamgaging data led the Congress to ask USGS for a solution and USGS proposed the NSIP in 1999. The NSIP was designed and authorized by Congress to operate as a federally-funded “backbone” network supporting approximately 4,750 streamgages and tidal gages necessary to fulfill 5 specific national purposes. The National Research Council’s Committee on Water Resources Research evaluated the NSIP design and concluded that it will provide “a sound, well-conceived program that meets the nation’s needs for streamflow measurement, interpretation, and information delivery.” Over 20% of the 4,750 NSIP streamgages were installed and then disconnected due to insufficient funds; another 6% have yet to be installed. Of the 3,500 active NSIP streamgages, 90% do not have reliable federal funding, and their operation depends upon a patchwork of other agencies budgets.

The CWP streamgages have served America well for almost 120 years, and this partnership with state, tribal, interstate and local agencies sustains the rest of the national need for surface water measurement that isn't covered by NSIP funding. USGS can barely support 30% of the cost of CWP streamgages today, compared with 50% in the past. As a result, a disproportionate share of the financial burden for this federal responsibility has shifted onto the non-federal partners and the sustainability of this partnership is increasingly at risk.

We accept that the federal budget needs to adapt to economic impacts and deficit concerns, but in the face of repeated storm damage, flooding and drought impacts, reliable data and science have never been more essential in protecting our communities, businesses and infrastructure investments. The coordinated NSIP and CWP monitoring programs serve as the stethoscope on America's rivers and water supplies, and the USGS must lead America's water community in water data and science, providing the essential standards and communication to assure reliable water information is accessible to all Americans when and where it is needed. Full implementation of these programs is a reasonable federal investment in the data and science needed to support the full set of federal responsibilities while reducing disaster impacts, enhancing the sustainability of our communities, our economies and our ecosystems.

Many other federal agencies needs this water data and science, too: to evaluate the implementation of the Clean Water Act, implementation of tribal agreements and international treaties, manage public lands and reservoirs, conserve endangered species, forecast and prepare for flooding, *etc.*

We hope that the Interior Department and OMB will help the USGS to fully implement the NSIP as directed in PL 111-11, and match the non-federal investment in the USGS monitoring network, to reverse the loss of long-term streamgages and to sustain the monitoring needed to understand water quality and climate trends, to forecast floods, storm surge and droughts, and to provide emergency warnings, manage interstate water supplies and to monitor compliance with federal treaties, compacts and Native American trust responsibilities.

We are happy to answer your questions or provide additional information; please contact any of us or Peter Evans at the Interstate Council on Water Policy (phe@riverswork.com or 703-243-7383).


Sincerely,

For ALABAMA




Brian Atkins, Chief
Department of Economic & Community Affairs, Office of Water Resources

For ARKANSAS



J. Randy Young, Executive Director
Natural Resources Commission

For CALIFORNIA



Thomas Howard, Executive Director
Water Resources Control Board

For COLORADO



James Eklund, Director
Water Conservation Board



Dick Wolfe, State Engineer
Division of Water Resources

For CONNECTICUT



Macky McCleary, Deputy Commissioner
Department of Energy and Environmental Protection

For IDAHO



Gary Spackman, Interim Director
Department of Water Resources



Curt Fransen, Director
Department of Environmental Quality




Roger Chase, Chairman
Water Resource Board

For ILLINOIS




Arlan R. Juhl, Director
Dept of Natural Resources, Office of Water Resources



Marcia T. Willhite, Chief
Environmental Protection Agency, Bureau of Water

For IOWA



Chuck Gipp, Director
Department of Natural Resources

For KANSAS




Tracey Streeter, Director
Kansas Water Office

For KENTUCKY



Peter Goodmann, Acting Director
Energy & Environment Cabinet, Division of Water

For MAINE




Patricia W. Aho, Commissioner
Department of Environmental Protection



Robert G. Macvinney, State Geologist
Geological Survey

For MARYLAND



Dan Boward, Secretary, Water Monitoring Council
Department of Natural Resources

For MASSACHUTTES



Bethany A. Card, Assistant Commissioner, Bureau of Resource Protection
Department of Environmental Protection

For MINNESOTA



John Linc Stine, Commissioner
Pollution Control Agency



Tom Landwehr, Commissioner
Department of Natural Resources

For MISSOURI



Sara Parker, Pauley, Director
Department of Natural Resources

For MONTANA




John E. Tubbs, Director
Department of Natural Resources and Conservation

For NEVADA



Leo Drozdoff, Director
Department of Conservation and Natural Resources

For NEBRASKA



Brian P. Dunnigan, Director
Department of Natural Resources

For NEW HAMPSHIRE



Glenn Normandeau, Executive Director
Department of Fish and Game



Thomas S. Burack, Commissioner
Department of Environmental Services

Christopher D. Clement, Commissioner
Department of Transportation

For NEW JERSEY



Michele N. Siekerka, Acting Deputy Commissioner
Department of Environmental Protection

For NEW MEXICO



Estevan R. López, Director
Interstate Streams Commission



Scott A. Verhines
State Engineer

For NEW YORK



Mark Klotz, Director
Department of Environmental Conservation, Division of Water

For NORTH DAKOTA



Terry Steinwand, Director
Game & Fish Department

For OKLAHOMA



JD Strong, Executive Director
Water Resources Board

For OREGON



Phillip C. Ward, Director
Department of Water Resources



Jennifer Wigal, Program Manager
Department of Environmental Quality Standards and Assessments

For RHODE ISLAND



Kenneth J. Burke, General Manager
Water Resources Board



Janet Coit, Director
Department of Environmental Management

For TEXAS



Kevin Patteson, Executive Administrator
Water Development Board

For SOUTH CAROLINA




Ken Rentiers, Deputy Director
Department of Natural Resources, Division of Land, Water and Conservation

For SOUTH DAKOTA




Steven M Pirner, Secretary
Department of Environment and Natural Resources

For UTAH



Eric L. Millis, Director
Division of Water Resources



Walter L. Baker, Director
Division of Water Quality

For VERMONT



Deb Markowitz, Secretary
Agency of Natural Resources

For VIRGINIA



Melanie D. Davenport, Director
Department of Environmental Quality, Water Division

For WASHINGTON



Maia Bellon, Water Resource Program Manager
Department of Ecology

For WEST VIRGINIA




Patrick V. Campbell, Deputy Director
Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Water and Waste Management

For WISCONSIN



Cathy Stepp, Secretary
Department of Natural Resources

For WYOMING



Patrick T. Tyrrell
State Engineer



Harry C. LaBonde, Jr., Director
Water Development Office

Copies were provided directly to Deputy Secretary Mike Connor, Assistant Secretary Anne Castle, USGS Acting Director Suzette Kimball, OMB Director Sylvia Mathews Burwell and their staff leaders